



PUBLIC PROCUREMENT AND
DISPOSAL OF PUBLIC ASSETS
APPEALS TRIBUNAL

ANNUAL REPORT
2018/19



FOREWORD BY THE CHAIRPERSON

The Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Appeals Tribunal is a quasi-judicial body established with the core function of hearing and determining applications for review of the decisions of the Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Authority filed with the Tribunal by aggrieved bidders. The Tribunal commenced business in July 2014. This is its fourth Annual Report.

During the reporting period, the Tribunal has performed its mandate more effectively piggybacking on the past three years' experiences and more so, the confidence gained by our key stakeholders such as the Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Authority, the entities and the providers as reflected by the quality of applications received by the Tribunal. The difference in the number of applications received by the Tribunal remained more or less the same 25 cases compared with the previous financial year 2016/17 i.e. 16 cases. This could in one way be an indicator that the decisions made by the Tribunal in the previous years are guiding and informing the stakeholders to execute their public procurement and disposal functions and responsibilities more efficiently.

I am happy to report that the Tribunal has continued to issue its decisions for all the applications received within the statutory period of ten working days and therefore, has no backlog cases.

During the year under review, the Tribunal's efficient functioning was strengthened further through the acquisition of two new vehicles with the able support of the Accounting Officer staff of the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development.

In furthering its visibility and developing closer rapport with its key customers, the providers and the entities, the Tribunal successfully conducted two Regional sensitization workshops in the West Nile and Ankole regions. Through these meetings, the Tribunal was able to interact with the providers and the Political leaders and entities and appreciate more the challenges faced by them in their endeavor to provide services to society through the public procurement process.

With the fully-fledged Secretariat and the team of committed and competent members of the Tribunal, we shall continue to fulfill our mandate of hearing and determining the applications and references before us expeditiously, transparently with fairness, to the delight of all stakeholders.

I take this opportunity to appreciate the Ministry of Finance Planning and Economic Development for the policy guidance and support during the year.

I would also like to convey my gratitude to the members of the Tribunal, the Registrar and staff of the Tribunal, the bidders, learned counsel and other stakeholders for their meticulous work and support which has enabled the Tribunal to execute its statutory mandate efficiently and effectively.



Olive Zaale Otete
CHAIRPERSON



REGISTRAR'S MESSAGE

I am pleased to present the Annual Report for Financial Year 2018/2019. This is our fifth Annual Report and despite the challenges, the Tribunal has recorded tremendous achievements.

This Financial Year 2018/2019 has been another eventful year for the Tribunal, most notably, the Tribunal successfully procured Recording and Transcription Equipment for purposes of recording Tribunal proceedings. The recording system has greatly improved the accuracy of the Tribunal proceedings.

During the Financial Year 2018/2019, the Tribunal received 23 Applications from aggrieved bidders and all the 23 Applications were fully adjudicated upon by the Tribunal, hence no backlog.

The Tribunal also carried out six regional sensitization workshops on the mandate of the Tribunal and how aggrieved bidders can file applications to the Tribunal. The feedback from the stakeholders during the regional sensitization workshop is very encouraging, stakeholders now understand the administrative review mechanism during public procurement and disposal processes. Funds permitting, we shall continue to sensitize the stakeholders on the mandate of the Tribunal and how aggrieved bidders can file applications to the Tribunal.

We are able to achieve as a Tribunal due to the continued support from the Government of Uganda through the Ministry of Finance, Planning, Economic and Development. We appreciate the support and we pledge our commitment to work together with Ministry of Finance, Planning, Economic and Development towards a glorious future and grow our vision to be a Centre of excellence for public procurement and disposal of assets disputes management in Africa.

We are also able to achieve as a Tribunal under the able leadership of our Chairperson Mrs. Olive Zaale Otete and her team, the Tribunal members who have guided very well the Secretariat.

The above successes could not have been registered had it not been for the dedicated and hardworking Secretariat staff, who continuously worked as a team. To this effect I express my gratitude.

I hope you enjoy reading this report, as it gives you further insight into the work we do and the progress we have made over the years since the inception of the Tribunal in 2014.

Atiku Saki Mansoor
Ag. REGISTRAR

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Abi BOT	Agricultural Business Initiative Board of Trustees
CILT	Chartered Institute of Logistics and Transport
CIPS	Chartered Institute of Purchasing and Supply
CTB	Central Tender Board
CPPS	Certified Private Partnership Specialist
PAT	Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Appeals Tribunal
PEC	Presidential Economic Council
PPDA	Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Authority

CONTENTS

Foreword by the chairperson.....	3
Registrar’s message.....	5
List of acronyms.....	6
1.0 Introduction and organisation overview	8
1.1 Vision statement	8
1.2 Mission statement.....	8
1.3 Core values.....	8
1.4 Functions of the Tribunal.....	9
1.5 Powers of the Tribunal.....	9
1.6 Tribunal secretariat.....	9
1.7 Budget performance.....	9
2.0 Activities of the Tribunal during the report period.....	10
2.1 Applications for review of the decisions of PPDA.....	10
2.4 Summary of application by issues.....	16
2.5 Training of staff.....	16
2.6 Training of Tribunal members.....	16
2.7 Sensitisation workshops.....	17
3.0 Key achievements of the Tribunal.....	17
4.0 Key planned activities for the financial year 2019/2020.....	18
.....	18
Appendix I.....	18
Particulars of Tribunal members.....	18

INTRODUCTION AND ORGANISATION OVERVIEW

The Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Appeals Tribunal (Tribunal) is a quasi-judicial body established by Section 91B of the Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Act of 2003.

The Tribunal consists of a chairperson and four members who are appointed by the Minister of Finance, Planning and Economic Development. The Members of the Tribunal are appointed from the private sector for a three-year term which may be renewable for only one term.

The Members of the Tribunal as at 30th June 2018 are:

- | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| a) Olive Zaale Otete | Chairperson |
| b) David Kabateraine | Member |
| c) Abraham Nkata | Member |
| d) Moses Jurua Adriko-SC | Member |
| e) Eng. Thomas Isanga Brookes | Member |

The profile of each member of the Tribunal is indicated in Appendix I of this report.

1.1 Vision Statement

To be a centre of excellence for public procurement and disposal of public assets disputes management in Africa.

1.2 Mission Statement

To provide quality adjudication in public procurement and disposal of public assets disputes in accordance with the principles of natural justice.

1.3 Core Values

- a) Integrity
- b) Accountability
- c) Transparency
- d) Equity
- e) Efficiency
- f) Teamwork; and
- g) Timeliness.

1.4 Functions of the Tribunal

The core function of the Tribunal is to hear and determine applications for review of the decisions of the Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Authority (PPDA) by aggrieved bidders.

The other function of the Tribunal is to hear matters referred to it by PPDA and to hear applications made to it by aggrieved procuring and disposing entities.

The PPDA Act, 2003 requires the Tribunal to issue a decision within a period of not more than ten working days after receiving an application for a review of a decision of the Authority. The law does not specify a timeline within which the Tribunal delivers its decision on matters referred to it by PPDA. However, the Tribunal takes upon itself to hear and make decisions in the shortest practical time.

A party to proceedings before the Tribunal who is aggrieved by a decision of the Tribunal, may, within thirty days after being notified of the decision of the Tribunal or within such further time as the High Court may allow, lodge a notice of appeal with the registrar of the High Court.

1.5 Powers of the Tribunal

Section 91K of the PPDA Act, 2003, grants the Tribunal the following powers:-

- a) Taking evidence on oath;
- b) Proceeding in the absence of a party who has had reasonable notice of the proceedings;
- c) Adjourning hearing of the proceedings; and
- d) Making orders as to costs against any party, which shall be enforceable like an order of the High Court; and
- e) Examining witnesses who are outside Uganda.

In the event of matters referred to it by PPDA, where the Tribunal determines that the continuation of a procurement or disposal proceeding may result in an incorrect contract award decision or a worsening of any damage already done to the procurement or disposal proceeding, the Tribunal shall suspend the procurement or disposal proceeding.

1.6 Tribunal Secretariat

The Tribunal has a Secretariat located at 7th Floor, Communications House Colville Street in Kampala. The Secretariat is headed by a Registrar who is the Accounting Officer and also in charge of the Registry of the Tribunal.

1.7 Budget Performance

During the reporting period, the Tribunal received a total of two billion seven hundred million Uganda Shillings (2,700,000,000/=). The budget of the Tribunal

is a subvention to facilitate the operations of the Tribunal. The total actual expenditure was 2,700,000,000/-, a 100% budget performance.

2.0 ACTIVITIES OF THE TRIBUNAL DURING THE REPORT PERIOD

2.1 Applications for review of the decisions of PPDA

In the report period, the Tribunal heard and determined a total of 23 applications summarised below as follows:

- 1. *Transnational Computer Technology Vs KOBBY Technologies & PPDA, Application No. 14 of 2018.*** This was an application to review the PPDA decision on ground that the Authority erred when it found that there were no subcontracting agreements between the proposed local subcontractors. That the decision to cancel the entire procurement process was high handed and costly. The total contract price for the impugned procurement process was UGX 43,009,414,431 (Uganda shillings Forty-three billion nine million four hundred fourteen thousand four hundred thirty-one only inclusive of VAT. The Tribunal dismissed the application with no orders to costs.
- 2. *Trinity Technical Services Ltd Vs. PPDA & Amolatar District Local Government, Application No. 15 of 2018.*** This was an application to review the PPDA decision on ground that the Authority erred when it found out that the Applicant was duly suspended. The Tribunal upheld the application and set aside the decision of the Authority.
- 3. *Angelique International Ltd Vs PPDA, Application No. 16 of 2018.*** This was an application for review of PPDA decision in respect of the suspension of Angelique International Ltd from participating in public procurement and disposal proceedings. The ground of this application was failure by the Board to accord the Applicant a chance to be heard and failure by the Respondent to protect the Applicant when they were unfairly excluded from participating in the bidding process by the procuring and disposal entity. The Tribunal dismissed the application with no orders to costs.
- 4. *Roko Construction Ltd & Roko Construction Rwanda Ltd Vs PPDA & CRJE (EA) Ltd, Application No. 17 of 2018.*** This was an application for administrative review challenging the decision of PPDA on ground that the procuring and disposing entity breached sections of the PPDA Act and Regulations and provisions of the standard bidding document when it disqualified the 2nd

Respondent for submission of uncertified documents; that the procuring and disposing entity wrongly disqualified the 2nd Respondent's bid on the basis that the Power of Attorney did not comply with the requirement of being signed by at least two directors of the 2nd Respondent. The total contract price of the impugned procurement is UGX 74,703,912,383 VAT Inclusive. The Tribunal upheld the application. The entity may retender the procurement.

5. ***Roko Construction Ltd & Roko Construction Rwanda Ltd Vs PPDA & Seyani Brothers & Co. Ltd Parbat Siyani Construction Ltd, Application No. 18 of 2018.*** This was an application for review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the procuring and disposing entity breached sections of the PPDA Act and Regulations and provisions of the standard bidding document when it disqualified the 2nd Respondent for submission of uncertified documents and that the procuring and disposing entity wrongly disqualified the 2nd Respondent's bid on the basis that the Power of Attorney did not comply to the requirement of being signed by at least two directors of the 2nd Respondent. The total contract price of the impugned procurement is UGX 74,703,912,383 VAT Inclusive. The Tribunal upheld the application and advised the entity to retender the procurement if it so wished.
6. ***Inspectorate of Government Vs PPDA & Seyani Brothers & Co. Ltd Parbat Siyani Construction Ltd, Application No. 19 of 2018.*** The Applicant filed this application for review of the decision of PPDA on ground whether a document that is a mandatory requirement for purposes of eligibility and has been found to be lacking in materials particular can be cured at the instigation of the Evaluation Committee, exercising their powers under Regulation 10 of the PPDA Evaluation Regulations, 2014, to seek clarification from the issuing authority, in order and for purposes of rendering the document compliant and passing the bidder. The total contract price of the impugned procurement was UGX 74,703,912,383 VAT Inclusive. The Application abated because the issues in contention were satisfactorily resolved in application No. 17 & 18 of 2018.
7. ***Inspectorate of Government Vs PPDA & CRJE (EA) Ltd, Application No. 20 of 2018.*** The Applicant filed this application for review of the decision of PPDA on ground whether it was justified to order a re-evaluation or uphold the two grounds of application, when CRJE abandoned the administrative review process after being called upon to substantiate their submissions about Engineer Frederick Mubiru and disclose their sources of information during the second hearing held on 26th July 2019. The total

contract price of the impugned procurement was UGX 74,703,912,383 VAT Inclusive. The Application abated because the issues in contention had been satisfactorily resolved in application No. 17 & 18 of 2018.

8. ***International Business Solutions Ltd Vs. PPDA & Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, Application No. 21 of 2018.*** The Applicant filed this application for review of the decision of the Authority on ground that Teltec Investments Ltd flouted code of ethical conduct in business for bidders and providers on accuracy of information when it submitted a forged manufacturer's authorisation from Lenovo and Reddot East Africa. The total contract price of the procurement was UGX 164,643,857. The Tribunal dismissed the application with no orders to costs.
9. ***Basima Consult Ltd Vs PPDA & Entebbe Municipal Council, Application No. 22 of 2018.*** This was an application to review the decision of PPDA on ground whether PPDA was right to advise the Entity to re-evaluate the bids instead of declaring the Applicant the Best Evaluated Bidder and awarding the contract. The reserve price per month street parking was UGX 3,400,000. The Tribunal dismissed the application with no orders to costs.
10. ***Mantrac (U) Ltd Vs Attorney General & Niletrac (U) Ltd, Application No. 23 of 2018.*** This was an application for review of PPDA decision on ground whether the Niletrac (U) Ltd passed all evaluation stages as per the evaluation report of the 1st Respondent's Accounting Officer of the Ministry of Water and Environment. The contract price of the procurement was UGX 8,083,000,000. The Application was dismissed with no orders to costs.
11. ***Sadeem Al-Kuwait General Trading & Construction Co. & Dott Services Ltd JV Vs Uganda Cancer Institute & PPDA, Application No. 24 of 2018.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground whether the 1st and 2nd Respondents erred in law and fact when they rejected the Applicant's Application for administrative review complaint in so far as the Applicant had the lowest bid price of US Dollar 13,539,809.63, lower than Roko Construction Ltd, whose bid price was US Dollar 13,627,447 and therefore the Applicant should have been declared the Best Evaluated Bidder under Sections 43 (b), (c), (e) and (f), 45 and 48 of the PPDA Act and Regulation 30 (6) of the PPDA (Evaluation) Regulations, 2014, S.I 9 of 2014.. The contract price for the procurement was UGX13, 627,447. The application succeeded in part.

The Tribunal declined to grant the Applicant's request to correct the expiry date in the bid security because to do so would tantamount to altering bids contrary to Rules 2.46 and 2.48 of the AfDB Rules as well as ITB Clause 27 of the Standard Bidding Document.

- 12. *Rural Electrification Agency Ltd Vs C & G Andijes & PPDA, Application No. 1 of 2019.*** This was an Application for review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the 2nd Respondent erred in law and fact when it decided that undertaking post qualification presupposes that the 1st Respondent had already been declared substantially responsive and met the qualifying criteria. The application succeeded in part. The contract price of the procurement was US Dollar 17,317,308,832.69. The decision of the Authority was set aside. Procurement process to continue to its logical conclusion.
- 13. *Engineering Solutions (U) Ltd Vs PPDA & Cooper Motor Corporation, Application No. 2 of 2019.*** This was an application for review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the Authority erred in law and fact when it held that the equipment offered by Engineering Solutions (U) Ltd TAFE 5900 di 4wd did not meet the requirement of minimum 60hp. The contract price of the procurement was UGX 3,055,586,100. The Tribunal set aside the decision of the Authority and directed the procurement process to continue to its logical conclusion.
- 14. *Ndovu Motors Ltd Vs PPDA & Engineering Solutions Ltd, Application No. 3 of 2019.*** This was an application for review of PPDA decision on ground that that the Authority erred in law and fact in finding that the Applicant did not comply with the submission of audited accounts for three years thus rejecting the application as a whole. The contract price of the procurement was UGX 3,055,586,100. The Application was dismissed with no orders to costs.
- 15. *FINMAP III Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development Vs PPDA & Transnational Computer Technology Ltd JV with Next Tech Solutions (U) Ltd, Application No. 4 of 2019.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the Authority erred in law and fact when it decided that the joint venture agreement submitted in the bid of the 2nd Respondent constituted four parties to wit Transnational Computer Technology incorporated in Kenya, Transnational Computer Technology (USA), Next Tech Solutions (U) Ltd and Next Technologies Kenya. The Application was upheld, and the decision

of the Authority set aside.

16. ***SYBYL Ltd in Joint Venture with HSENIID BIZ Solutions & SYBYL Kenya Ltd Vs PPDA Transnational Computer Technology Ltd JV with Next Tech Solutions (U) Ltd, Application No. 5 of 2018.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the Authority erred in law and fact when it decided that the joint venture agreement submitted in the bid of the 2nd Respondent constituted four parties to wit Transnational Computer Technology incorporated in Kenya, Transnational Computer Technology (USA), Next Tech Solutions (U) Ltd and Next Technologies Kenya. The Application was withdrawn by the Applicant with the consent of the parties.
17. ***Roko Construction Ltd Vs PPDA, Application No. 6 of 2019.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground whether the Entity should have sought for clarification from China State Construction Engineering Corporation Ltd with respect to the requirement of translation of the Memorandum and Articles of Association. The contract price of the procurement was UGX 69,999,434,751. The Applicant withdrew the application because it was overtaken by events of the Accounting Officer of the Entity cancelling the procurement process.
18. ***Motorcare (U) Ltd Vs PPDA & Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Application No. 7 of 2019.*** This was an application for review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the Evaluation Committee and the Authority erred in disqualifying the Applicant's bid that its offer did not meet the required minimum ground clearance. The bid price for the procurement was UGX 4,370,676,732 inclusive of VAT. The Tribunal upheld the application and set aside the decision of the Authority.
19. ***Empire Tools Ltd Vs PPDA, Uganda Wildlife Authority & Rural Electrification Agency, Application No. 8 of 2019.*** This was an application for seeking for leave to file an application out of time to review the decision of the PPDA in respect to the recommendation to suspend Empire Tools Ltd from participating in public procurement and disposal proceedings. The Application was dismissed with no orders to costs.
20. ***Cream General & Tech Services Ltd Vs PPDA, Spark Technical Services Ltd & Ibanda District Local Government Application No. 9 of 2019.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the 1st Respondent erred in law

and fact by holding that the Applicant did not meet the requirement in the bidding document on submission of a bid security. The contract price of the procurement was UGX 2,047,859,100. The Tribunal upheld the application. The decision of the Authority was set aside.

21. ***ACMIVS Consulting Ltd JV Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Shonubi, Musoke & Co. Advocates Vs PPDA & Uganda National Oil Company, Application No. 10 of 2019.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground that Uganda National Oil Company and the Authority erred in adopting the evaluation criteria different from what was provided for under Part 2 Section 3 (6.2-6.4) page 33 of the RFP document. The application was withdrawn by the Applicant with no orders to costs.
22. ***Baron Services Inc Vs PPDA, Application No. 11 of 2019.*** This Application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground that the suspension of the Applicant be lifted. The Tribunal dismissed the application with no orders to costs.
23. ***Frematex Services (U) Ltd Vs PPDA, Application No 12 of 2019.*** This application was for the review of the decision of PPDA on ground whether the Respondent erred in law and fact in finding that Masindi and Buliisa District Local Governments failed to display the notices of the Best Evaluated Bidder. The contract price of the procurement was UGX 2,649,797,500. The Tribunal allowed the application partly. The decision of PPDA was varied in so far as re-evaluation of the procurement was concerned. The Tribunal ordered the administrative review fees to be refunded to the Applicant by Gulu District Local Government.

2.3 The detailed decisions of the above cited applications can be found on the Tribunal website at www.ppdapealstribunal.go.ug

2.4 Summary of Application by issues

S/N	Issue	Frequency
1	Failure to adhere to the evaluation criteria	6
2	Suspension as providers by PPDA	3
	Powers of Attorney and uncertified documents	3
3	Powers of the Authority to order for re-evaluation	2
4	Forged documents	1
5	Post qualification.	1
6	Failure to comply with audited books of account.	1
7	Failure by an entity to comply with the Notice of Best Evaluated Bidder regulation.	1
8	Joint venture agreement.	2
9	Seeking clarification	1
10	Leave to appeal out of time	1
11	Failure to comply with the criteria set out in the Bidding Document	1
	TOTAL	23

2.5 Training of Staff

During the period under review, five staff undertook training courses in various fields of studies in Tanzania, South Africa and Kenya, respectively. These were: the Registrar Mr. Amos Kwizera, Finance and Administration Manager Alex Musinguzi, Senior Legal Officer Mr. Atiku Saki Mansoor, Accountant Mr. Byarugaba Obed and Secretary Olivia Kayaga. The training was aimed at enhancing their skills in the performance of the Tribunal work.

2.6 Training of Tribunal Members

The Tribunal conducted training workshops for the Tribunal members on-

1. Public Sector Financial Management.
2. Corporate Governance with the Institute of Corporate Governance in Uganda.

2.7 Sensitisation Workshops

1. The Tribunal conducted six (6) regional sensitisation workshops as indicated below, to create awareness to procurement and disposal stakeholders on the activities of the Tribunal.

Region	Location
Eastern	Mbale District
Greater Masaka	Masaka District
Central	Mubende District
Acholi	Gulu District
Lango	Lira District
Busoga	Jinja District

3.0 KEY ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE TRIBUNAL

1. The Tribunal's core function is to hear and determine applications for review of the decisions of PPDA and to determine matters referred to it by PPDA. In the report period, the Tribunal received and successfully determined 23 Applications and issued decisions within the ten (10) working days' timeline stipulated under the PPDA Act, 2003 after receipt of an application for review. There are no incidents of case backlog.
2. The Tribunal has deployed efficiently and effectively managed its assets, financial and human resources.
3. The Tribunal procured recording and transcription equipment for Tribunal proceedings.
4. The Tribunal developed and printed a Compendium of cases handled by the Tribunal. These were distributed to Accounting Officers during the regional sensitization workshops.
5. The Tribunal has carried out branding of its two vehicles, official letter head, stamps and the Tribunal seal.

4.0 KEY PLANNED ACTIVITIES FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2019/2020

In the financial year 2019/2020, the Tribunal plans to carry out the following:

- a) Automate the Tribunal Registry;
- b) Continue to sensitise procuring and disposing entities, bidders and the general public on the roles of the Tribunal;
- c) Compile and publish a Compendium of applications and references handled by the Tribunal;
- d) Training and skills building for staff and Tribunal members in procurement related matters, Court administration, drafting decisions.

APPENDIX I

Particulars of Tribunal Members



OLIVE ZAAALE OTETE

Ms Otete is an Advocate of the High Court of Uganda. She holds a Bachelor of Laws (LLB) Degree and a Master of Laws (LLM) Degree, both of Makerere University. She worked as a legislative drafter with the Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs in the Directorate of

First Parliamentary Counsel for twelve years retiring at the Senior Rank of Commissioner Legislative Drafting in 2007.

Since 2007, she works as a legislative drafting consultant in Uganda and abroad. She practices with the Law Firm M/s Zaaale Otete and Company Advocates in Kampala.

She is married with four children.



ABRAHAM NKATA

Mr. Nkata holds an MBA (Procurement) from the Uganda Management Institute, Bachelor of Purchasing and Supply Management, UK, Graduate Diploma in Purchasing and Supply-CIPS, Diploma in Procurement Management in Public Sector-Italy and is a Chartered Member of the Chartered Institute of Purchasing and Supply, UK, a Chartered Member of the Institute of Logistics and Transport-UK, a Chartered Member of the Institute of Management, UK and a Certified Procurement Practitioner of the

Institute of Procurement Professionals of Uganda (IPPU). He is the current Chairman of the Council of the Institute of Petroleum Studies, Kampala Uganda.

He served as Commissioner on the Commission of Inquiry into the Uganda National Roads Authority (2015-2016); has worked as a Procurement Consultant for the World Bank, GAVI Secretariat, USAID, DFID, Crown Agents, Trade Mark East Africa, Medical Access Uganda Limited and National Water and Sewerage Corporation and a trainer for the PPDA and the Commonwealth Secretariat. Mr. Nkata is married and has children and grandchildren.

He possesses over 40 years of continuous Professional Procurement and Supply Chain Management experience, fifteen (15) years of which have been unrivalled hands-on and consulting experience in both the public and private sectors.

His major competences are in the areas of development and implementation of procurement legal frameworks, policies, systems and procedures, strategic procurement management, international procurement contracting under various national and international funding arrangements as well as conducting training and skills development in the procurement and supply chain management function.



ENG. THOMAS ISANGA BROOKES

He is a holder of a Master of Science (International Construction Management) University of Bath, United Kingdom, 2017 and Bachelor of Science (Civil Engineering) Makerere University, Uganda, 1991.

He is a corporate Member of the Uganda Institute of Professional Engineers as well as a Registered Engineer with the Engineers Registration Board of Uganda.

He has over 25 years of experience in civil engineering infrastructure development from conceptualizing, planning, design, preparation of works documents and contract administration to technical assistance.

He has previously worked as a Consultant for Public Procurement and Public Disposal Authority (PPDA) of Uganda (2008-2009) in the area of monitoring compliance Central and Local Governments Procurement and Disposal Entities with the PPDA Act, Regulations and Guidelines.

Currently, he is one of the Directors of Professional Engineering Consultants Limited and Geotechnical Engineering and Technology Laboratory Limited.

He is married with five children.



DAVID KABATERAINE

Mr. Kabateraine is a Public-Partnerships Specialist, Procurement and Supply Chain Specialist Management Specialist, Economist and a retired Civil Servant.

Currently:

Freelance Consultant, Member of Public Procurement and Public Assets (PPDA) Appeals Tribunal, and a member of Board of Trustees, Agricultural Business Initiative (abi) Trust.

Experience:

David has over 30 years experience in Public Service under the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, where he retired as Ag Commissioner for Public and Management Policy Development.

He served on the PPDA Board of Directors for 8 yrs. He is also a Member, and chaired a number, of Committees. At the Ministry, he served in various capacities fostering public - private development; fair competition, transparency and accountability Procurement policy formulation monitoring and evaluation as well as procurement regulatory

and oversight function; Tax policy and Tax Measures formulation, monitoring and evaluation, he helped to coordinate, and participate in various: Program/ Projects identification and appraisal for funding under various multinational and Bi-lateral donor agencies (ADB, BADEA, IDB and World Bank, Kuwait Fund, Saudi Fund, Abu Dhabi Fund and Qatar Fund, and IFAD and UNDP), as well as negotiating for Loans, Grants and Contracts, and subsequently monitoring and evaluation of Loans and Grants administration-procurement and budget financial programming, utilization and recovery/payments. He also helped in Economic Reforms, Restructuring and liberalization Policies.

MOSES JURUA ADRIKO



Moses is a Partner of MMAKS Advocates and Co- head of the Firm's Litigation Team. His practice focuses mainly on Corporate and Commercial Law, Civil Litigation, Intellectual Property and International Criminal law.

He is a Solicitor of the Supreme Court of England of Wales. He holds LLB (Hons) degree from the University of East Anglia. He also has a Diploma in Legal Practice from the Law Development Centre.

He is a past President of the Uganda Law Society and chaired the African Forum of the International Bar Association (IBA). Moses has served on the IBA Human Rights Institute as a Council Member and as a member of the Transitional Justice Issues Committee, in the Government of Uganda.

He chaired as Investigator in Chief Accident Investigation into the crash involving an aircraft belonging to Furgo Airborne Surveys (PTY) Ltd. Moses serves as a Non- Executive Director of Standard Chartered Bank (U) Limited as well as Non- Executive Director of Sanlam General Insurance (U) Ltd.

He is married with children.